

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	). F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/686,653 10/10/2000		10/10/2000	Shunpei Yamazaki	07977/084002/US3151D1	5915	
20985	7590	02/04/2002				
	ICHARDS		EXAMINER			
4350 LA JOLLA VILLAGE DRIVE SUITE 500				TON, MINI	TON, MINH TOAN T	
SAN DIEC	GO, CA 92	122				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2871		
				DATE MAILED: 02/04/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			NV
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/686,653	YAMAZAKI ET AL.	
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Toan Ton	2871	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ess
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of th (1) a timely filed amendm	is application. A proper reply ent which places the applicat	tion in
PERIOD FOR F	REPLY [check either a) or	r b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Ottimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37	s Advisory Action, or (2) the da e later than SIX MONTHS from AS FILED WITHIN TWO MON ne date on which the petition und d of extension and the correspond of the shortened statutory period ffice later than three months af	the mailing date of the final rejection  THS OF THE FINAL REJECTION.  Inder 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate appropriate amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final of	on. See MPEP  opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CI			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note			
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	in better form for appea	by materially reducing or sin	nplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cance NOTE:	eling a corresponding nur	mber of finally rejected claims	<b>3</b> .
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	ld be allowable if submitte	ed in a separate, timely filed a	amendment
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: S		een considered but does NO	Γ place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed S	SOLELY to issues which were	newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims with the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment o			ind an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	<b>s</b> :		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-7, 9-13, 15-19, 21-24</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)  approved or b)  □	disapproved by the Exami	ner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)( PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
10. Other:		· · · —	
<del>_</del>			
			!

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive and therefore the final rejection is maintained. The filing of Terminal Disclaminer is acknowledged.

TOANTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER